## **Dorman High Freshman Campus** 1225 Cavalier Way Roebuck, South Carolina 29376 Grades 9 High School **Enrollment** 821 Students **Principal** Cheryl Revels Superintendent Dr. Darryl Owings **Board Chair** Mr. Michael Crook ABSOLUTE RATING Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average 5 20 7 IMPROVEMENT RATING ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

864-582-3479

864-576-4212

864-576-4212

### THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

# ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD

N/AV

Below Average Unsatisfactory

2 0

N/AV

N/A

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic,

#### SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

> http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org

PERFO	PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD							
Year	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress					
2003	N/A	N/A	N/A					
2004	N/A	N/A	N/A					
2005	N/A	N/A	N/A					
2006	N/AV	N/AV	N/A					

#### DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS								
	Our School			High Schools with				
					Students Like Ours			
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006		
Passed 2 subtests	N/A	12.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	75.9		
Passed 1 subtest	N/A	40.6	N/A	N/A	N/A	11.3		
Passed no subtests	N/A	46.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	12.8		

HSAP PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2006		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	90.9%	91.7%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP		
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	N/A	15.6
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	N/A	17.1
Seniors who met the grade point average	N/A	54.3

<sup>\*</sup>Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Number of Students	N/A	277
Number of Diplomas	N/A	203
Rate	N/A	74.9%

END OF COURSE TESTS		
		High Schools with
Percent of students scoring 70 or above on:	Our School	Students Like Ours
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	N/A	80.1
English 1	N/A	69.0
Biology 1/Applied Biology 2	N/A	64.7
Physical Science	N/A	50.8
All Subjects	N/A	66.0

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS								
	HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2006			Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		Graduation Rate		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective	
All Students	11	90.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Gender								
Male	6	83.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Female	5	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	9	88.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
African American	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Hispanic	2	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group								
Non disabled	11	90.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Disabilities other than speech	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	<u> </u>	
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	11	90.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	<u> </u>	
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-Limited English Proficient	11	90.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	<u> </u>	
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	5	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Full-pay meals	6	83.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

HEVD	DEDECON	IANCE BY	CROUR
1 N 7 A 1 A	PERFORM	IANCE BY	CAROUP

HOAF PERFORMANCE BY GRO	UF								
	Enrollment 1st		/ %		/ ~~	/	1	Performance Objective	Participation Objective Met
	•			Performa			.3%		
All Students	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Gender	NVA	21/2	21/2	21/2	21/2	21/4	21/2		
Male	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Female	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group		21/2	21/2	A1/A	21/2	21/4	21/2		
White	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
African American	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Disabled	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Full-pay meals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	l	<b> </b>
Λ.	1 athomatic	oo Ctoto	Dorform	anaa Ohia	otivo - 50	η Λ0/			

Mathematics - State Performance Objective = 50.0%								
All Students	N/A							
Gender								
Male	N/A							
Female	N/A							
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	N/A							
African American	N/A							
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A							
Hispanic	N/A							
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A							
Disability Status								
Not Disabled	N/A							
Disabled	N/A							
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A							
Non-Migrant	N/A							
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	N/A							
Non-Limited English Proficient	N/A							
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	N/A							
Full-pay meals	N/A							

Student attendance in this school \*or greater than last year

SCHOOL PROFILE

	Our School	Cha La	nge from st Year	High Schools with Stude Like Our	ents	Median High School	
Students (n= 821)							
Retention rate	1.7%	Down fro			2%	7.0%	
Attendance rate	96.7%				3%	95.5%	
Eligible for gifted and talented	22.0%				2%	7.9%	
With disabilities other than speech	6.8%				3% 4%	12.3% 9.5%	
Older than usual for grade Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	1.1% 9.0%				2%	1.2%	
Enrolled in AP/IB programs		N/R		13.		11.2%	
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/A	N/A			N/A	N/A	
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship*  Annual dropout rate	N/A 0.7%	Up from	n 20/		0% 6%	10.2% 2.8%	
		l '	0.2%		6% 8%		
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations		N/A	500		8% 475	3.5% 448	
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	612	Up from	382	4	4/5	448	
Students participating in worked-based experiences	N/A	N/A		20.	0%	24.2%	
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	70.5%	No chan	ge	81.	4%	80.0%	
Career/technology completers placed  * Using only SAT/ACT and Grade Point Average requirem		N/A		100.	0%	99.1%	
Teachers (n= 53)							
Teachers with advanced degrees	60.4%	Up from	58.8%	59.		55.5%	
Continuing contract teachers	N/AV	NI/A		,	/AV	N/AV	
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	11.6%		7.00/		9%	9.6%	
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	2.5%	Down fro	om 7.0%		3%	9.9%	
Teachers returning from previous year	78.6%		OF 40/	87.		86.3%	
Teacher attendance rate			om 95.1%		8%	95.3%	
Average teacher salary Prof. development days/teacher	,	Down 1.	3% 12.5 days	\$43,2 10.4 d	-	\$42,943 11.2 days	
School	12.0 dayo	Ор пош	12.0 dayo	10.4 0	uyo	11.2 days	
Principal's years at school	4.0	Up from	3.0		4.0	3.0	
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects			om 25.7 to 1	27.6 t		25.7 to 1	
Prime instructional time	90.4%	Down fro	om 91.0%	90.	0%	89.3%	
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$5,793	Up 12.49	%	\$6,7	789	\$6,792	
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	62.7%	Down fro	om 64.7%	55.	0%	55.3%	
Percent of expenditures for instruction*	65.6%			60.	0%	61.1%	
Opportunities in the arts	Excellent			Excell		Excellent	
Parents attending conferences		Up from			3%	92.8%	
SACS accreditation		No chan			Yes .	Yes	
Character development  * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Excellent	No chan			bod	Good	
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by high	v qualified teach	ore		District 3.8%		State 6.2%	
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by high				1.8%		10.2%	
mg. poron, concolo not taught by high	, quaou tout		State Object		et St	ate Objective	
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers in	this school	0.0%			No		

94.0%\*

Yes

#### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

At Dorman Freshman Campus, students thrive in a comprehensive educational environment that develops the total child including academics, fitness, character, and citizenship. That program proved successful for the fourth year as we opened our doors to 817 students for the 2005-2006 school year. In fact, we were Palmetto Gold Award winners for the fourth consecutive year.

Our focus continues to be on the academic success of all students. Student performance on end-of-course tests increased in all content areas. More than 95% of our students passed the end-of-course test in Algebra I. Our BETA Club inducted 233 students. Seventy-one students earned all As for the year. Our Homework Center included before school and after school components to provide students with a quiet place to study and do homework with access to research and computer materials. In addition, the DFC Freshmen Academic Team placed second in the Southeastern Academic Challenge and third in the SC NAQT Championship this year, behind our own Dorman J.V. and Varsity Academic teams.

Students in our fine arts programs excelled in all areas. The DFC Orchestra received a superior rating at the SC Concert Festival this year. Seven students were selected for the Junior All-State Orchestra. Sixteen students participated in the Region Orchestra. In the SC Solo and Ensemble Festival, numerous students received Excellent and Superior Ratings. The marching band won the bronze medal in the finals of the SC Band Director's Association Class 5A State Championships. Two students were selected for the ACDASD Junior High Honor choir. Two choral students received the Alia Lawson pre-college scholarship at Converse College along with two piano students. In visual arts, six students exhibited their artwork at the Piedmont Interstate Fair and the Spartanburg Sidewalk Art Show with one student winning first prize. Five students won second place in the African-American Young Artist Renaissance Program.

Our students were also active members of many athletic teams. Four girls played on the State Championship soccer team. Our JV Competition Cheerleaders received 1st place in their four competitions. Eight athletes set new school records in swimming. Two wrestlers qualified for the 4A Upperstate Championships. One student played in the All-State Girls Tennis Singles Tournament. Our JROTC Cavalier Battalion received Honor Unit with Distinction Recognition for the twentieth consecutive year.

An advisory period, called the CAVS Program (Choices, Attitudes and Values for Success) is also scheduled for all students. Based on the book The Seven Habits of Highly Effective Teens by Sean Covey, this program, designed by DFC teachers, allows for concentration on study skills, substance abuse awareness, cultural diversity, community service, career goals, and character education. All of our students are members of one of our 30 clubs and service organizations, which raised funds for numerous community and school charitable projects.

Our students will continue to progress to higher levels academically, artistically, and athletically, the focus and pride of this unique school. As we proudly reflect on our many accomplishments and prepare for the upcoming school year, we encourage and appreciate parent participation and support as we work together to provide the best educational opportunities for our students.

Cheryl Revels, Principal Richard Thomas, School Improvement Council Chair

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS						
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*			
Number of surveys returned	52	723	212			
Percent satisfied with learning environment	94.2%	76.7%	83.3%			
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	92.3%	78.6%	83.4%			
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	90.2%	83.4%	77.9%			

<sup>\*</sup>Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.